

APPROVED	O. G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	

1





Fig. 10




Fig. 1

099414953 023300

APPROVED BY DRAFTSMAN	O.G. FIG. CLASS	SUBCLASS
-----------------------------	--------------------	----------




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

702220-296T660

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	
DRAFTSMAN	

1




Fig. 4

10E220 296TT660

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	

1

FIGURE FIFTEEN




Fig. 5A




Fig. 5






Fig. 9



APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	



Fig. 12



100-220-296760

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN	

FIGURE 20th EDITION




Fig. 13




Fig. 14

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS
DRAFTSMAN	SUBCLASS




Fig. 15




Fig. 16

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.
BY	CLASS
DRAFTSMAN	SUBCLASS

Comparison Of Pull Forces Needed to Drill a 50000 ft. Lateral
Using Either Composite or Steel Coil Tubing
Under Different Buoyancy Conditions




FIG. 17

0001363 0230